Disinfection of drain water in greenhouses using a wet condensation heater
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Summary — A wet condensation heater has been modified to disinfect drain water in greenhouses in addition to providing heating and CO₂. Various kinds of microorganisms (bacteria and fungi) and one virus (tobacco mosaic virus [TMV]) originating from either pure cultures or substrate extracts were introduced into the disinfection circuit above the heater. Water was checked downstream for the number of microorganisms surviving the heat treatment. Fungi were destroyed after 30 s at 58.9°C, and most of the bacteria except some spore-forming bacteria such as Bacillus polymyxa were destroyed after 45 s at 65.2°C. Complete elimination of TMV particles was more difficult to achieve but their number was greatly reduced, limiting the probability of plant infection. The microbial density was significantly decreased at relatively low temperatures (less than 75°C for 2 min) and so the efficacy of the heater was attributed to a combined effect of both temperature and UV irradiation from the flame. Addition of a nonpathogenic microorganism to the substratum is proposed to compensate for the lack of complete efficiency observed with high flow rates of drain water. This introduced microorganism could occupy the free space and limit an invasion by a pathogen surviving the treatment.
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Résumé — Désinfection des eaux de drainage des serres à l'aide d'une chaudière à condensation modifiée. Une chaudière à condensation classiquement utilisée pour fournir du chauffage et du CO₂ dans les serres a été modifiée pour en outre permettre la désinfection des eaux de drainage et leur réintroduction dans le circuit d'irrigation. Différents types de micro-organismes (bactéries, champignons) et des particules virales obtenus soit à partir de cultures pures, soit de substrats de culture (solvé Hoitifibre®) ont été introduits dans le circuit de désinfection en amont de la chaudière. Le nombre de micro-organismes survivants dans la solution traitée a été mesuré en sortie de chaudière. Les champignons sont détruits par un passage de 30 s à 58,9°C et la plupart des bactéries testées ne formant pas de spores sont également détruites par un passage de 45 s à 65,2°C. Les particules virales sont plus difficiles à éliminer mais leur densité est malgré tout significativement diminuée, réduisant la probabilité d'infection des plantes. La diminution de la densité des micro-organismes étant obtenue à des températures relativement basses (moins de 75°C pendant 2 min), l'efficacité de la chaudière est attribuée à un effet combiné de la température et des UV produits par la flamme. Cependant, l'efficacité du procédé n'est pas totale pour des débits importants (en période estivale) et, en l'absence de normes indiquant le niveau économiquement acceptable de micro-organismes résiduels que l'on peut tolérer dans l'eau de recirculation, nous suggérons d'introduire dans les substrats de culture un ou des micro-organismes non pathogènes qui limiteraient les possibilités d'invasion par un pathogène survivant au traitement.
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* Correspondence and reprints
INTRODUCTION

Plants grown in greenhouses on artificial substrates such as rockwool are overirrigated. The remaining nutrient solution acts as a buffer to prevent too high a ionic imbalance in the root vicinity. Generally, about 20% of the total nutrient solution is drained and flushed away, resulting in both an economic waste of fertilisers and groundwater pollution problems. To avoid these problems, drain water may be collected and reintroduced into the irrigation system (Runia et al, 1988; Printz, 1994), in which case the sanitary state of the solution must be taken into account. Most of the soil-borne pathogenic microorganisms are likely to be carried through the water flow. This is true for bacteria, such as Erwinia, Clavibacter, Xanthomonas or Pseudomonas, and fungi, such as Fusarium, Pythium or Olpidium; the latter is a virus carrier. On the other hand, the substrates supporting the plants are generally sterile to begin with, but can quickly become sources of infection through the proliferation of microorganisms originated from seeds or contained in drain water. Kritzman (1993) reported that tomato seeds could harbour various pathogenic bacteria. These microorganisms can develop freely in the substrate since their population is not regulated by any microflora. They can then multiply in the drain water with leached fertilisers and spread all over the culture through the irrigation system. Densities of bacteria ranging from $10^3$ to $10^5$ bacteria ml$^{-1}$ were detected in the drain water of a tomato culture (Gaillard, 1994). Therefore, this can rapidly and dramatically turn to an epidemic affecting all the plants. Printz (1994) reported that attacks by Phytophthora in ornamental nurseries were significantly higher in plots where drain water was recycled than in plots where it was flushed away. The use of chemical disinfectants is not sufficient to prevent all pathogen development (Koponen et al, 1993); their efficacy is limited in time and some of these disinfectants are phytotoxic such as sodium hypochlorite (Avikainen et al, 1993). Growers must pay particular attention to monitoring the absence of pathogens in the recirculated drain water. Kritzman (1993) reported that tomato seeds could harbour various pathogenic bacteria. These microorganisms can develop freely in the substrate since their population is not regulated by any microflora. They can then multiply in the drain water with leached fertilisers and spread all over the culture through the irrigation system. Densities of bacteria ranging from $10^3$ to $10^5$ bacteria ml$^{-1}$ were detected in the drain water of a tomato culture (Gaillard, 1994). Therefore, this can rapidly and dramatically turn to an epidemic affecting all the plants. Printz (1994) reported that attacks by Phytophthora in ornamental nurseries were significantly higher in plots where drain water was recycled than in plots where it was flushed away. The use of chemical disinfectants is not sufficient to prevent all pathogen development (Koponen et al, 1993); their efficacy is limited in time and some of these disinfectants are phytotoxic such as sodium hypochlorite (Avikainen et al, 1993). Growers must pay particular attention to monitoring the absence of pathogens in the recirculated drain water.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The heater

A prototype heater was built by the Compagnie Hortinova (Marseille, France) (fig 1) by modification of a wet condensation-type gas heater (Condenserres P340, A Serres, Eguilles, France) which normally produced hot water (30–50°C) to heat the greenhouse (9 in fig 1), and dry-cleaned the CO$_2$ provided through fumre recovery (1 in fig 1). The heater furnace was modified to ensure the thermodisinfection of irrigation water or recycled nutrient solution simultaneously with water heating and CO$_2$ production. During the process the drain water, heating water and complementary fresh water were pooled in a storage tank (8 and 9 in fig 1). Irrigation water and heating water were separated afterwards by means of a dual water circulation system inside the heater body. The first circuit (3 in fig 1) circulated the heating water through ceramic Rashig rings (7 in fig 1) and was connected to a storage tank; the second circuit (4 in fig 1) circulated the irrigation water by means of 4 tubes passing through the ceramic rings. The water in these tubes was therefore preheated before entering into the combustion chamber (6 in fig 1) in which the water flowed at the surface of the cylindrical wall of the chamber. It was then subjected to a brief but intense heat treatment and UV radiation provided by the gas flame (2 in fig 1). A temperature probe (12 in fig 1) was set to measure the temperature of the water at the top of the cylindrical chamber. The disinfected water was stored in an irrigation tank (10 in fig 1).

For the purpose of the experiment, the circuit was connected to tap water (8 in fig 1). Different flow rates of circulating water in the disinfection circuit were tested (1–5 m$^3$ h$^{-1}$). A flow rate of 1 m$^3$ h$^{-1}$ involves the water staying in the combustion chamber for 2 min. The time linearly decreased when the flow rate increased. The higher the flow rate, the shorter the time of exposure and the lower the temperature reached by the water to be disinfected.
Inoculum

Tests were performed by introducing successively various kinds of inoculum into the disinfection circuit. These inocula were made of microorganisms (table I) chosen as examples of microorganisms likely to be found in drain water. The microorganisms came from i) liquid extracts of used culture substrates containing a mixture of microorganisms, some of which are phytopathogenic; and ii) pure cultures of both pathogenic and non-pathogenic bacteria and fungi, and suspensions of viruses.

Liquid culture of Fusarium

The Fusarium strain was grown in shake culture on malt broth (malt Difco 10 g l⁻¹) for 5 d. The culture was filtered on a glass fibre filter (40 μm) to separate mycelium from conidia. Conidia were then concentrated by centrifugation (15 min, 5 000 g).

Bacteria

All the bacteria were previously grown in yeast peptone medium (yeast extract 5 g, peptone Difco 5 g, glu-
cose 10 g, pH 7, water 1 000 ml) for 24–72 h and then washed with distilled water and concentrated by centrifugation (15 min, 6 000 g).

Substrates
Two extracts of 2 substrates were used, Hortifibre and soil. Hortifibre consists of a mixture of poplar fibres torn under water steam to ensure their disinfection and packaged into plastic films. Both Hortifibre extract and soil extract were obtained by grinding 15 times 10 g of each of the substrates in 100 ml sterile distilled water in a Waring Blender (Eberbach Corp) for 2 min at high speed. After grinding, the suspensions (about 1.5 l each) were allowed to settle for 5 min. The supernatants were recorded to constitute the inoculum.

Suspension of TMV
The virus suspension (tobacco mosaic virus, TMV) was kindly provided by the Laboratoire de Phytoparasitologie, INRA Dijon, France.

Table I. Inoculum form, strains and respective origin of the microorganisms used in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inoculum</th>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>Fungi</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pure culture</td>
<td><em>Pseudomonas fluorescens</em>, strain C7</td>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>FPFS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</em>, strain C58 biotype 1</td>
<td>EMS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Clavibacter michiganensis</em> subsp. <em>michiganensis</em>, strain 1462</td>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>CFPB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Erwinia carotovora</em> subsp. <em>atroseptica</em>, strain 1453</td>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>CFPB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Bacillus polymyxa</em>, strain 1954</td>
<td>Potato</td>
<td>CFPB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Fusarium oxysporum</em>, strain Fo47</td>
<td></td>
<td>FPFS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspension of microorganisms</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tobacco</td>
<td>LP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extracted from Hortifibre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Pythium</em> spp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Alternaria</em> sp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Penicillium</em> sp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspension of microorganisms</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unidentified bacteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extracted from soil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Verticillium</em> sp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Penicillium</em> sp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unidentified fungi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FPFS = collection of Flore Pathogène du Sol, INRA, Dijon, France; EMS = collection of Écologie Microbiologique du Sol, Université Lyon, France; CFPB = Collection Française de Bactéries Phytopathogènes, Angers, France; LP = collection of Laboratoire Phytoparasitologie, INRA, Dijon, France; Cucumbers were previously grown on Hortifibre; soil: egg plants were previously grown on this soil and showed symptoms of Verticillium.

Each inoculum was introduced into the disinfection circuit above the heater. The suspension was continuously injected for 2–5 min using a pump (5 in fig 1) and according to the flow rate of the circuit and the inoculation value.

As controls, identical injections of microbes were done with the gas furnace off. The microbial densities in the injected suspension were adjusted when possible (ie with bacteria originating from pure culture) to
reach bacterial population densities in the circulating water similar to those detected by Gaillard (1994) in the drain water of a tomato culture. The samples were taken downstream from the heater. For each given flow rate, 2 samples were taken at 1 min intervals by means of a tap (11 in fig 1) placed in the circuit. Each sample was divided into 3 subsamples. A tenfold serial dilution was performed for each subsample and the number of microorganisms was determined by the plate count technique using YPA medium (yeast peptone medium + agar 15 g/l) for bacteria and MA (malt + agar 15 g/l) medium for fungi. In the cases when bacteria and fungi were mixed in the suspensions checked, cycloheximide (100 mg/l) and citric acid (250 mg/l) were added to YPA and MA media to inhibit the growth of fungi and bacteria, respectively. The results are the means of the 6 subsamples. Student t-tests were used to compare the results.

The quantity of TMV was determined as follows: a suspension of virus particles was diluted to give a range of 10, 5, 2.5, 1, 0.5 and 0.1 ng virus particles ml\(^{-1}\). Two leaves of two 6-week-old tobacco plants (Nicotiana tabacum) were used to test each dilution level. The leaves were first gently brushed with carborundum powder, and then with the virus suspension. One week later, the tobacco leaves were checked for the number of necrotic lesions and a linear regression was calculated \((r = 0.996; \ y = 0.0261x - 0.285 \) where \(x\) is the mean number of necrotic lesions per leaf and \(y\) the virus concentration). The samples thought to contain the viruses were concentrated 9 times by centrifugation in Amicon Centrip 10 tubes (Pharmacia) for 40 min at 3 000 g. The concentrated suspension was then tested on tobacco leaves. The mean number of necrotic lesions per leaf was then referred to the linear regression to determine the quantity of virus particles in the sample.

**RESULTS**

The results are expressed as colony forming units (cfu) per millilitre of water taken downstream from the heater. Tables II–IV indicate the number of microorganisms detected in relation to the flow rate, both with the gas furnace off (= control) and on. All the differences between cold control and heat treatment were statistically significant at the 1% level unless mentioned in the tables.

The columns on the right-hand sides of tables II–IV indicates the temperature reached by the water when it passed the immediate vicinity of the flame as measured by the probe. It should be noted that a zero result means that microorganisms were not detected in the suspension. The detection limit was 10 bacteria or 1 fungal propagule ml\(^{-1}\) of suspension.

Table II shows that the conidia of Fusarium were easily eliminated at 4 m\(^3\) h\(^{-1}\) or less, ie at a temperature equal to or higher than 58.9°C.

Table III shows that the efficiency of the heat treatment depends on both the flow rate of the circulating water and the bacterial species. P fluorescens was eliminated whatever the flow rate and with temperatures as low as 59.7°C while B polymyxa and to a lesser degree E carotovora were always found in the disinfected water. TMV was more difficult to eliminate. It survived the treatment when the temperature was less than 70°C.

Table IV shows that complete disinfection was not achieved either when bacteria originated from soil or Hortifibre\textsuperscript{®} suspension. The heater efficacy decreased with increasing flow rate. Moreover, the treatment had no significant effect on the soil suspension at 5 m\(^3\) h\(^{-1}\). Some of the bacteria were roughly identified because of their typical colony morphology: they were either actinomycetes or belonged to the genus Bacillus. Nevertheless not all the colonies were checked

**Table II. Number of F oxysporum (Fo47) propagules issued from pure liquid culture surviving the heat treatment compared to the number of F oxysporum in the non-treated (cold control) water.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow rate (m(^3)h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Number of F oxysporum propagules</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cold control</td>
<td>Heat treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.1 x 10(^3) (3 x 10(^5))</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.6 x 10(^3) (1.5 x 10(^5))</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.5 x 10(^3) (3.6 x 10(^5))</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.6 x 10(^3) (6.5 x 10(^5))</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.9 x 10(^3) (2.5 x 10(^5))</td>
<td>9.9 x 10(^2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number is expressed as cfu ml\(^{-1}\) of water. Differences between cold control and heat treatment were significant at the 1% level. The numbers in parentheses are standard deviations of the mean.
### Table III. Number of bacteria and TMV particles issued from pure liquid cultures surviving the heat treatment compared to the number of bacteria and TMV particles in the non-treated (cold control) water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow rate (m³ h⁻¹)</th>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>Number of cfu m⁻¹</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cold control</td>
<td>Heat treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>A</em> tumefaciens</td>
<td>2.2 x 10³ (0.9 x 10²)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMV</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>A</em> tumefaciens</td>
<td>3.8 x 10⁵ (2 x 10³)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P</em> fluorescens</td>
<td>5.1 x 10³ (2 x 10²)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMV</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>C</em> michiganensis</td>
<td>1.2 x 10⁶ (1 x 10³)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>B</em> polymyxia</td>
<td>3 x 10⁴ (4 x 10²)</td>
<td>5.3 x 10² (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>E</em> carotovora</td>
<td>1.5 x 10⁵ (1.2 x 10³)</td>
<td>1 x 10² (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>A</em> tumefaciens</td>
<td>3.1 x 10³ (1.3 x 10²)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P</em> fluorescens</td>
<td>9.2 x 10³ (3 x 10²)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMV</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>C</em> michiganensis</td>
<td>8.9 x 10⁴ (1.1 x 10³)</td>
<td>40 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>B</em> polymyxia</td>
<td>1.6 x 10⁵ (2 x 10³)</td>
<td>2 x 10³ (10²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>E</em> carotovora</td>
<td>6.5 x 10⁴ (1.1 x 10³)</td>
<td>5.5 x 10² (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P</em> fluorescens</td>
<td>7.5 x 10³ (0.9 x 10²)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number is expressed as cfu m⁻¹ of water for the bacteria and as nanograms of virus particles as determined by reference to a standard for TMV. The symbol – means that the temperature was around (± 0.5°C) the value following the symbol. Differences between cold control and heat treatment were significant at the 1% level. The numbers in parentheses are standard deviations of the mean.

### Table IV. Number of bacteria and fungi issued from a soil suspension and from a Hortifibre suspension surviving the heat treatment compared to the number of the same microorganisms in the non-treated (cold control) water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow rate (m³/h)</th>
<th>Microbe</th>
<th>Number of cfu m⁻¹</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cold control</td>
<td>Heat treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Soil suspension</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>1.6 x 10³ (4.5 x 10²)</td>
<td>9.8 x 10² (15) *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>9 (0.1)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>3.1 x 10³ (5.5 x 10²)</td>
<td>1.2 x 10³ (10²)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>20 (0.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>2.2 x 10³ (4.4 x 10²)</td>
<td>1.5 x 10³ (10²) ⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>18 (0.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hortifibre® suspension</strong></td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>4.8 x 10³ (1.5 x 10²)</td>
<td>1 x 10³ (0.5 x 10²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>8.5 x 10³ (0.8 x 10²)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>2.2 x 10³ (1.3 x 10²)</td>
<td>1.2 x 10³ (10²) ⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>8.5 x 10³ (0.8 x 10²)</td>
<td>25 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number is expressed as cfu m⁻¹ of circulating water. Differences between cold control and heat treatment were significant at the 1% level except: ** 1% level; * 5% level; ⁴ non significant. The numbers in parentheses are standard deviations of the means.
DISCUSSION

The efficiency of the heater to disinfect the circulating water depends on the time that the water stays in the combustion chamber. This efficiency was expressed as a percentage of microorganisms eliminated compared with the control.

Besides the flow rate, the heater efficiency varied according to the kind of microorganisms introduced into the disinfection circuit upstream of the heater. Fungi were mostly eliminated whether they originated from pure culture or substrate extracts, except when the flow rate was higher than 4 m$^3$ h$^{-1}$. In these cases, the temperature of the water entering the combustion chamber was too low (53.5 or 55.7°C, tables II and IV) to ensure the killing of the whole fungal population. The morphological state (ie mycelium, conidia or chlamydospore form) of the various fungal species was not checked. Fusarium propagules in the culture suspensions were mostly conidia with few chlamydospores. On the contrary, the kind of propagules that were in the soil and Hortifibre® extract were probably a mixture of mycelium, conidia and many chlamydospores (Couteaudier and Steinberg, 1990). All these propagules were eliminated after a short exposure (30 s to 2 min according to the flow rate) to temperatures between 58.9 and 73.8°C. Runia et al (1988) failed to eliminate 29-day-old spores of Scirorotium rolfsii, Alternaria macrospora, Aspergillus niger and Botrytis cinerea was affected when these fungi were exposed to UV irradiation. Nevertheless, the efficiency of UV irradiation depended on the fungal species. S rolfsii was rather resistant while B cinerea was killed by a 3-min exposure. Stanghellini et al (1984) succeeded in controlling root rot of spinach caused by Pythium aphanidermatum by UV irradiation in a recirculating hydroponic system.

This combined action must also have occurred on bacteria. A range of 5 bacterial species including 3 pathogenic species was checked. Depending on the genus, some of them were very sensitive such as P fluorescens, while others could survive. The efficiency of the heater was high (more than 98%) but it may not be acceptable to have a low inoculum density of B polymyxa or E carotovora in the recirculating water. The persistence of B polymyxa and to a lower extent of C michiganensis could be explained by the fact that these bacteria are Gram-positive bacteria, with a stronger cell wall which renders them less sensitive. Moreover, B polymyxa cells can form resting spores that may resist even more. Belliveau et al (1990) have shown that the heat resistance of B megatenium spores could be correlated with their DNA content, ie with multiple genome copies. Only 1 undamaged copy would be necessary to ensure the survival of the bacteria. In that case, the survival of E carotovora cells is more difficult to explain as they are Gram-negative bacteria but the hypothesis of heat shock proteins induced during the preheating phase and conferring heat resistance could be tested.

Unidentified bacteria from the soil suspension and to a lesser extent from the Hortifibre® suspension were less affected by the treatment. The efficiency of the heater was less than 60% in the former case and less than 85% in the latter. One explanation could be that soil-borne bacteria are much more diverse in their susceptibility to high temperatures than bacteria originating from a cul-
activated clonal population. Therefore, some resistant bacteria could have been unaffected by the heat shock. Moreover, soil-borne bacteria were probably protected from both the UV radiation and the heat shock by some soil particles such as clay particles that are known to protect microorganisms in soil (Stotzky, 1966). When extracted from soil, bacteria may be embedded in clay particles and survive the treatment.

To our knowledge, data concerning the elimination of viruses from drain water are rather scarce. The concentrations used in our experiments were high and the treatment reduced the inoculum but with an efficiency of 100, 95 and 75% when the flow rates were 1, 2 and 3 m$^3$ h$^{-1}$ respectively. Because viruses do not multiply on their own, it can be concluded that the treatment greatly reduced the probability of a virus infection.

One problem that may occur is that UV irradiation could provoke iron dechelation depending on the kind of Fe-chelate used in the nutrient solution (Schwartzkopf et al, 1987). Iron precipitates from the solution resulting in iron chlorosis. Iron concentration was not assessed in our experiments. Obviously, such chemical measurements will have to be made in further trials.

CONCLUSION

The requirements that force the recirculation of crop effluents in greenhouses obviously introduce the risk of spreading pathogens within crops. In our study, complete disinfection of drain water was achieved for low flow rates. Depending on the stage of the crop and the season, the volume of drain water to disinfect can be low, in which case, the process combining UV radiation with short exposure to temperature is a good way of recirculating drain water with a very limited risk of pathogen propagation. Moreover, this combined effect will result in a lower energy consumption. However, in summer, more drain water must be disinfected and a flow rate of 1 m$^3$ h$^{-1}$ could be a limiting factor. This could involve a continuous running of the heater resulting in an overproduction of CO$_2$, an overheating of the heating water and thus a need for coolers. Such a solution does not seem to be reasonable. A pathologist needs 100% efficiency because an efficiency of 98.75% results in 1.25% microorganisms left (ie 2 x 10$^3$ bacteria ml$^{-1}$ in the case of B polymyxa (table III which is a high inoculum potential). However, a grower could accept a tolerance threshold if the presence of microorganisms in the drain water does not imply an economic risk. There is a real need to get information to establish rational, economical drain water quality standards, ie acceptable propagule residuals. Biotests that allow the estimation of the infection potential of the drain water could be performed but they cannot be used in practice to advise the growers (Moulin et al, 1994). An alternative solution would be the massive introduction of selected biocontrol agents downstream from the heater (Steinberg, 1991). These microorganisms, selected for their antagonistic activity in a given rhizosphere, would thus outcompete the surviving pathogens (Alabouvette et al, 1987). Introducing selected microorganisms into the culture substrate and through the nutrient solution or the drain water will result in an occupation of the niches and will thus limit infestation by a pathogen. Some biocontrol agents have already been selected for their antagonistic activity against pathogens (Alabouvette, 1991, 1993) and could overcome the limited efficiency of the heater. Such a combination would constitute a low-cost method in recirculating drain water safely.
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