reprogramming in SCNT embryos has been suggested as a major reason for this low birth rate, we attempted to improve the success rate by using several lines of donor cells that were reprogrammed by gene transfection and an inhibitor treatment. First, the bovine ear fibroblast cells were transfected with vectors (pCX-OKS-2A and pCX-Myc) carrying 4 genes (Oct-4, Kif4, Sox2, and c-Myc: OKSM). Subsequently, transfected cells were incubated in the presence of one of the following chemical inhibitors: (1) trichostatin A (TSA, 20 nM), (2) 5-aza-20-deoxycytidine (5-aza-dC, 10 μM), or (3) GS12-3/MEK inhibitor (2; GS12-3, 3 μM and MEK, 1 μM) for 7 days. Throughout the incubation period, cells in each treatment group were analyzed for their Sox2, Oct-4, and c-Myc expression levels by real-time PCR. The percentage of differentiated cells was determined by the expression levels of Oct-4, Sox2, and c-Myc. In the present study, it was found that the differentiation rate (Oct-4/Sox2/c-Myc) levels were generally lower in all groups compared with non-treated control groups. Regarding cell viability, the OKSM/5-aza-dC (10 μM, 20.1 ± 3.9%) combination was the best of the other regimens: OKSM/TSA (8.77, 11.1 ± 6.9%), OKSM/2I (8.94, 9.5 ± 1.5%), and negative control group (6.50, 12.6 ± 6.5%), and negative control group (9.94, 9.6 ± 3.8%; P < 0.05). Embryo development rates appeared to be lower in OKSM/inhibitor-treated groups, although the rate was significantly lower (P < 0.05) in OKSM/2I. In conclusion, our data suggest that the transfected 4 genes and incubating with 5-aza-dC can significantly improve the development rate of bovine SCNT embryos.
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Reprogramming the differentiated cell to totipotency can be achieved following the introduction of its nucleus into an enucleated oocyte, a procedure known as cloning. We used cattle clones as a pertinent model to assess the inter-individual epigenetic variability and its consequences on phenotypes, including agronomically relevant traits and developmental pathologies. Indeed, the developmental defects frequently associated with cloning could be related to the insufficient extent of reprogramming, leading to perturbations of the nuclear microenvironment of the early embryo, with long-term consequences on the phenotype. Immunoprecipitation of methylated DNA following hybridization on a new bovine-specific tiling array (MeDIP-chip) was used to describe the epigenetic patterns affected by incomplete reprogramming. We first focused on the liver, because overgrowth of this organ is, to a certain extent, linked to global fetal overgrowth, which is often observed in clones. The microarray represents the 24,460 bovine genes currently annotated (UMD3.1 genome assembly). Each gene was tiled by 34 probes, on average, spanning upstream regions from -2000 to +1360 bp relative to the transcription start site. This microarray was hybridized with MeDIP samples from livers of normal Holstein animals obtained by AI (4 perinatal controls and 3 adults: 2 males and 10 females) and livers of female Holstein clones (7 perinatal clones from 2 different genotypes, either stillborn or suffering from severe pathologies, and 7 adult clones from 3 different genotypes, with normal to pathological phenotypes). After normalization of the data, enriched probes were identified using the Cal Prix method (Martin-Magneitte et al. 2008 Bioinformatics) and located on the Ensembl Genome Browser. Results of exploratory analysis, including correlation clustering, principal components analysis (PCA), and independent components analysis (ICA), will be presented. A statistical test based on differences in the spatial distribution of the enriched probes along promoters was applied to the data, to associate epigenetic signatures to specific parameters (cloning, phenotype, stage, and genotype). Most promoters with more than 5 enriched probes across individuals showed a clustered distribution of the enriched probes. This local enrichment was highly conserved among individuals for 96% of the promoters, suggesting that most of the methylated regions were common to all animals. More interestingly, the distributions of the enriched probes showed inter-individual variability for 4% of the promoters in all samples. The identification and validation of these promoters is currently in progress.
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A gene-delivery system, PiggyBac (PB) transposition, has been applied to transgene expression in mammalian cells or animals. In this study, to produce transgenic cattle, we used PB in bovine fibroblasts and then the transfected cells were microinjected into enucleated bovine oocytes in vivo to produce embryos and offspring. For this study, 2 different fluorescence genes (GFP, transcribed by constitutive promoter and RFP, transcribed by tetracycline-dependent promoter), which were flanked by PB sequences, were transfected into the bovine fetal fibroblasts by the FuGene transfection protocol. The developmental rate of blastocysts among the cloned embryos derived from GFP cells and doxycycline-induced RFP cells was developed at 23.1% (31/134) and 40.9% (442/1082), respectively. After transferring the GFP- or RFP-expressing blastocysts into recipient cows,